

An alternative notion of Hopf Algebroid

GABRIELLA BÖHM ¹

Abstract

In [1] a new notion of Hopf algebroid has been introduced. It was shown to be inequivalent to the structure introduced under the same name in [18]. We review this new notion of Hopf algebroid. We prove that two Hopf algebroids are isomorphic as bialgebroids if and only if their antipodes are related by a ‘twist’ i.e. are deformed by the analogue of a character. A precise relation to weak Hopf algebras is given. After the review of the integral theory of Hopf algebroids we show how a right bialgebroid can be made a Hopf algebroid in the presence of a non-degenerate left integral. This can be interpreted as the ‘half of the Larson-Sweedler theorem’. As an application we construct the Hopf algebroid symmetry of an abstract depth 2 Frobenius extension [2].

¹Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, H-1525 Budapest 114, P.O.B. 49, Hungary
 E-mail: BGABR@rmki.kfki.hu
 Supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund, OTKA – T 034 512, FKFP – 0043/2001 and the Bolyai János Fellowship

1 Introduction

Recently many authors introduced generalizations of bialgebras. These structures are common in the feature that they do not need to be algebras rather bimodules over some – possibly non-commutative – base ring L . In the paper [5] the notions of Lu's bialgebroid [18] (axiomatized in a more compact form in [28]), Xu's bialgebroid with anchor [32, 33] and Takeuchi's $\times_L$ -bialgebra [31] were shown to be equivalent. We use the definition as follows:

Definition 1.1 *A left bialgebroid (or Takeuchi $\times_L$ -bialgebra) $\mathcal{A}_L$ consists of the data $(A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$. The A and L are associative unital rings, the total and base rings, respectively. The $s_L : L \rightarrow A$ and $t_L : L^{op} \rightarrow A$ are ring homomorphisms such that the images of L in A commute making A an $L-L$ bimodule:*

$$l \cdot a \cdot l' := s_L(l)t_L(l')a. \quad (1.1)$$

The bimodule (1.1) is denoted by ${}_L A_L$. The triple $({}_L A_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ is a comonoid in ${}_L \mathcal{M}_L$, the category of $L-L$ bimodules. Introducing the Sweedler's notation $\gamma_L(a) \equiv a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \in A_L \otimes {}_L A$ the identities

$$a_{(1)}t_L(l) \otimes a_{(2)} = a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}s_L(l) \quad (1.2)$$

$$\gamma_L(1_A) = 1_A \otimes 1_A \quad (1.3)$$

$$\gamma_L(ab) = \gamma_L(a)\gamma_L(b) \quad (1.4)$$

$$\pi_L(1_A) = 1_L \quad (1.5)$$

$$\pi_L(as_L \circ \pi_L(b)) = \pi_L(ab) = \pi_L(at_L \circ \pi_L(b)) \quad (1.6)$$

are required for all $l \in L$ and $a, b \in A$. The requirement (1.4) makes sense in the view of (1.2).

With the help of the maps s_L and t_L we can introduce four commuting actions of L on A . They give rise to L -modules

$$\begin{aligned} {}_L A : l \cdot a &= s_L(l)a & A_L : a \cdot l &= t_L(l)a \\ A^L : a \cdot l &= as_L(l) & {}^L A : l \cdot a &= at_L(l). \end{aligned}$$

One defines the bimodules ${}^L A^L$, ${}^L A_L$ and ${}_L A^L$ in the obvious way.

Throughout the paper it is a typical situation that the same ring A carries different L -module structures. In this situation the usual notation $A \otimes_L A$ is ambiguous. Our notation of bimodule tensor products is explained at the beginning of Section 2.

In [18] J. H. Lu introduced the notion of *Hopf algebroid* as a triple $(\mathcal{A}_L, S, \xi)$ consisting of a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ such that A and L are algebras over a commutative ring k . It is equipped with an antipode $S : A \rightarrow A$. The S is required to be an anti-automorphism of the k -algebra A satisfying

$$S \circ t_L = s_L \quad (1.7)$$

$$m_A \circ (S \otimes_L \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_L = t_L \circ \pi_L \circ S \quad (1.8)$$

$$m_A \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes_k S) \circ \xi \circ \gamma_L = s_L \circ \pi_L \quad (1.9)$$

where m_A is the multiplication in A and ξ is a section of the canonical projection $p_L : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A_L \otimes_L A$ that is ξ is a map $A_L \otimes_L A \rightarrow A \otimes_k A$ satisfying $p_L \circ \xi = \text{id}_{A \otimes_L A}$.

Following the result of [30, 17] – proving that an irreducible finite index depth 2 extension of von Neumann factors can be realized as a crossed product with a finite dimensional C^* -Hopf algebra – big effort has been made in order to make connection between more and more general kinds of extensions and of ‘quantum symmetries’ [24, 8, 9, 34, 23]. Allowing for *reducible* finite index D2 extensions of II_1 von Neumann factors in [19] and of von Neumann algebras with finite centers in [22] the symmetry of the extension was shown to be described by a *finite dimensional C^* -weak Hopf algebra* introduced in [4, 21, 3]. A Galois correspondence has been established in [20] in the case of finite index finite depth extensions of II_1 factors. The infinite index D2 case has been treated in [10, 11] for arbitrary von Neumann algebras endowed with a regular operator valued weight.

In the paper [14] depth 2 extensions of *rings* have been investigated. It was shown that there exists a canonical dual pair of (finite) bialgebroids associated to such a ring extension.

Studying the bialgebroids corresponding to a depth 2 *Frobenius* extension of rings one easily generalizes the formula describing the antipode in [30, 17, 23] to an anti-automorphism of the total ring satisfying the axioms (1.7-1.8). This supports the expectation that in the case of a depth 2 Frobenius extension of rings the canonical bialgebroids obtained in [14] can be made Hopf algebroids. However, no effort, made for checking the Lu-axioms [18] in this situation, brought success. (See however [12, 13] studying interesting subcases.) As a matter of fact the section ξ , appearing in the definition in [18], does not come naturally into this context.

This lead us to the introduction of an alternative notion of Hopf algebroid in [1] – the prototype of which is the symmetry of a depth 2 Frobenius extension of rings. In [1] three equivalent sets of axioms are formulated.¹ The first definition is analogous to the one in [18] in the aspect that a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ is equipped with a bijective antipode map S which is a ring anti-automorphism and relates the left and right L -module structures as in (1.7). Also the antipode axiom (1.8) is identical. The main difference is that in the definition of [1] no reference to a section ‘ ξ ’ is needed. In its stead we deal with the maps

$$(S \otimes S) \circ \gamma_L^{op} \circ S^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (S^{-1} \otimes S^{-1}) \circ \gamma_L^{op} \circ S. \quad (1.10)$$

In the Hopf algebra case both are equal to the coproduct itself. In this more general case the images of A under γ_L and the maps (1.10) are however different. We require the two maps of (1.10) to be equal and be both a left and a right comodule map. This form of the axioms does not contain a second antipode axiom. This definition is cited at the end of Section 3 of this paper.

Analyzing the consequences of this first definition one observes a hidden right bialgebroid² structure on A with the coproduct given by the equal maps (1.10). This lead us to the second ‘symmetric’ definition in [1] where the two antipode axioms have analogous forms using both the left and right bialgebroid structures of A in a symmetric way. We cite this definition in Definition 3.1 below.

The third definition in [1] is formulated without the explicit use of the antipode map. It borrows the philosophy of [26] where a Hopf algebroid like object (possibly without antipode), the so called $\times_L$ -Hopf algebra was introduced as a left bialgebroid s.t. the map

$$\alpha : {}^L A \otimes_{L^{op}} A_L \rightarrow A_L \otimes_L A \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} b$$

is bijective. Requiring however the bijectivity of the maps α and its co-opposite β (leading to a bijective antipode in the bialgebra case) for a left bialgebroid does not imply the existence of an antipode. In order to have a definition which is equivalent to the other two both related bialgebroid structures are needed. This definition explicitly shows that the Hopf algebroids in the sense of [1] are $\times_L$ -Hopf algebras.

It is proven in [1] that (weak) Hopf algebras with bijective antipode are Hopf algebroids in the sense of [1]. Also some examples of Lu-Hopf algebroids [18, 5, 15, 7] are shown to be examples.

The two notions of Hopf algebroid – the one introduced in [18] and the one in [1] – were shown to be inequivalent by giving an example of the Hopf algebroid [1] that does not satisfy the axioms of [18]. This example is discussed in the Section 4 of this paper in more detail.

On the other hand no example of Lu-Hopf algebroid is known to us at the moment that does not satisfy the axioms of [1]. This leaves open the logical possibility that the Lu-Hopf algebroid was a subcase of the one introduced in [1]. Until now we could neither prove nor exclude by examples this possibility.

In [1] the theory of non-degenerate integrals in a Hopf algebroid is developed. Though the axioms of the Hopf algebroid in [1] are by no means self-dual, it is proven in [1] that if there exists a non-degenerate left integral ℓ in a Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ then its dual (with respect to the base ring) also carries a Hopf algebroid structure which is unique upto an isomorphism of bialgebroids. The dual of the bialgebroid isomorphism class of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as the bialgebroid isomorphism class of the Hopf algebroid constructed on the dual ring.

In this paper we present a review and also some new results on Hopf algebroids. In the Section 2 we review some results about bialgebroids that were obtained in the papers [27, 31, 28, 14]. In the Section

¹added in proof: The final version of [1] contains four equivalent definitions. A ‘zeroth’ one has been added later.

²For the definition of the right bialgebroid [14] see Definition 2.1 below.

3 we repeat the definition of the Hopf algebroid given in [1] and cite some basic results without proofs. In the Section 4 we generalize the notion of the *twist of a Hopf algebra* – introduced in [6] – to Hopf algebroids. In particular we prove that twisted (weak) Hopf algebras are Hopf algebroids in the sense of [1]. A most important example is the Connes-Moscovici algebra $\mathcal{H}_{FM}$ [7]. By twisting cocommutative Hopf algebras we construct examples that do not satisfy the Hopf algebroid axioms of [18]. We give a sufficient and necessary criterion on a Hopf algebroid under which it is a (twisted version) of weak Hopf algebra. In the Section 5 we review the integral theory of Hopf algebroids from [1] without proofs. The Section 6 deals with the question how can we make a right bialgebroid with a non-degenerate left integral into a Hopf algebroid. The result of Section 6 can be interpreted as the generalization of the ‘easier half of the Larson-Sweedler theorem’ [16].

2 Bialgebroids

The total ring of a bialgebroid carries different module structures over the base ring. In this situation we make the following notational convention. In writing module tensor products we write out the (bi-) module factors explicitly. For the L -module tensor product of the bimodules ${}_L A^L$ and ${}_L A_L$, for example we write ${}_L A^{L'} \otimes_{L'} {}_{L'} A_L$, where L' stands for another copy of L , and it has been introduced to show explicitly which module structures are involved in the tensor product.

In order not to make the formulas more complicated than necessary we make a further simplification. In those situations in which it is clear from the tensor factors themselves over which ring the tensor product is taken, we do not denote it under the symbol $\otimes$. I.e. for the L -module tensor product of the right L -module A_L and the left L -module ${}_L A$, for example, we write $A_L \otimes_L A$.

The *bialgebroid* [18, 32, 28] or – what is equivalent to it – a Takeuchi $\times_L$ -bialgebra [31] is a generalization of the bialgebra in the sense that it is not an algebra rather a bimodule over a non-commutative ring L . We use Definition 1.1 of the left bialgebroid. We use the name *left bialgebroid* as in [14] since the ‘opposite structure’ was called a *right bialgebroid* in [14]:

Definition 2.1 A right bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R$ consists of the data $(A, R, s_R, t_R, \gamma_R, \pi_R)$. The A and R are associative unital rings, the total and base rings, respectively. The $s_R : R \rightarrow A$ and $t_R : R^{op} \rightarrow A$ are ring homomorphisms such that the images of R in A commute making A an $R - R$ bimodule:

$$r \cdot a \cdot r' := a s_R(r') t_R(r). \quad (2.1)$$

The bimodule (2.1) is denoted by ${}^R A^R$. The triple $({}^R A^R, \gamma_R, \pi_R)$ is a comonoid in ${}_R \mathcal{M}_R$. Introducing the Sweedler’s notation $\gamma_R(a) \equiv a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} \in A^R \otimes {}^R A$ the identities

$$\begin{aligned} s_R(r) a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} &= a^{(1)} \otimes t_R(r) a^{(2)} \\ \gamma_R(1_A) &= 1_A \otimes 1_A \\ \gamma_R(ab) &= \gamma_R(a) \gamma_R(b) \\ \pi_R(1_A) &= 1_R \\ \pi_R(s_R \circ \pi_R(a)b) &= \pi_R(ab) = \pi_R(t_R \circ \pi_R(a)b) \end{aligned}$$

are required for all $r \in R$ and $a, b \in A$.

In addition to the bimodule ${}^R A^R$ we introduce also

$${}_R A_R : \quad r \cdot a \cdot r' := s_R(r) t_R(r') a.$$

If $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ is a left bialgebroid then so is the co-opposite $(\mathcal{A}_L)_{cop} = (A, L^{op}, t_L, s_L, \gamma_L^{op}, \pi_L)$ – where $\gamma_L^{op} : A \rightarrow {}_L A \otimes A_L$ maps a to $a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)}$ –. The opposite $(\mathcal{A}_L)^{op} = (A^{op}, L, t_L, s_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ is a right bialgebroid.

We use the terminology of homomorphisms of bialgebroids as introduced in [29]:

Definition 2.2 A left bialgebroid homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'_{L'}$ is a pair of ring homomorphisms $(\Phi : A \rightarrow A', \phi : L \rightarrow L')$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} s'_L \circ \phi &= \Phi \circ s_L \\ t'_L \circ \phi &= \Phi \circ t_L \\ \pi'_L \circ \Phi &= \phi \circ \pi_L \\ \gamma'_L \circ \Phi &= (\Phi \otimes \Phi) \circ \gamma_L. \end{aligned}$$

The last condition makes sense since by the first two conditions $\Phi \otimes \Phi$ is a well defined map $A_L \otimes_{L'} A' \rightarrow A'_L \otimes_{L'} A'$. The pair (Φ, ϕ) is an isomorphism of left bialgebroids if it is a left bialgebroid homomorphism such that both Φ and ϕ are bijective.

A right bialgebroid homomorphism (isomorphism) $\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'_{R'}$ is a left bialgebroid homomorphism (isomorphism) $(\mathcal{A}_R)^{op} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}'_{R'})^{op}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_L$ be a left bialgebroid. The equation (1.1) describes two L -modules A_L and ${}_L A$. Their L -duals are the additive groups of L -module maps:

$$\mathcal{A}_* := \{\phi_* : A_L \rightarrow L_L\} \quad \text{and} \quad {}_*\mathcal{A} := \{{}_*\phi : {}_L A \rightarrow {}_L L\}$$

where ${}_L L$ stands for the left regular and L_L for the right regular L -module. Both $\mathcal{A}_*$ and ${}_*\mathcal{A}$ carry left A module structures via the transpose of the right regular action of A . For $\phi_* \in \mathcal{A}_*$, ${}_*\phi \in {}_*\mathcal{A}$ and $a, b \in A$ we have:

$$(a \rightharpoonup \phi_*)(b) = \phi_*(ba) \quad \text{and} \quad (a \rightharpoonup {}_*\phi)(b) = {}_*\phi(ba).$$

Similarly, in the case of a right bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R$ – denoting the left and right regular R -modules by ${}^R R$ and R^R , respectively, – the two R -dual additive groups

$$\mathcal{A}^* := \{\phi^* : A^R \rightarrow R^R\} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^*\mathcal{A} := \{{}^*\phi : {}^R A \rightarrow {}^R R\}$$

carry right A -module structures:

$$(\phi^* \leftharpoonup a)(b) = \phi^*(ab) \quad \text{and} \quad ({}^*\phi \leftharpoonup a)(b) = {}^*\phi(ab).$$

The comonoid structures can be transposed to give monoid (i.e. ring) structures to the duals. In the case of a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L$

$$(\phi_* \psi_*)(a) = \psi_*(s_L \circ \phi_*(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}) \quad \text{and} \quad ({}_*\phi {}_*\psi)(a) = {}_*\psi(t_L \circ {}_*\phi(a_{(2)})a_{(1)}) \quad (2.2)$$

for ${}_*\phi, {}_*\psi \in {}_*\mathcal{A}$, $\phi_*, \psi_* \in \mathcal{A}_*$ and $a \in A$. Similarly, in the case of a right bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R$

$$(\phi^* \psi^*)(a) = \phi^*(a^{(2)}t_R \circ \psi^*(a^{(1)})) \quad \text{and} \quad ({}^*\phi {}^*\psi)(a) = {}^*\phi(a^{(1)}s_R \circ {}^*\psi(a^{(2)})) \quad (2.3)$$

for $\phi^*, \psi^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$, ${}^*\phi, {}^*\psi \in {}^*\mathcal{A}$ and $a \in A$. In the case of a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L$ also the ring A has right $\mathcal{A}_*$ - and right ${}_*\mathcal{A}$ - module structures:

$$a \leftharpoonup \phi_* = s_L \circ \phi_*(a_{(1)})a_{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad a \leftharpoonup {}_*\phi = t_L \circ {}_*\phi(a_{(2)})a_{(1)}$$

for $\phi_* \in \mathcal{A}_*$, ${}_*\phi \in {}_*\mathcal{A}$ and $a \in A$.

Similarly, in the case of a right bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R$ the ring A has left $\mathcal{A}^*$ - and left ${}^*\mathcal{A}$ structures:

$$\phi^* \rightharpoonup a = a^{(2)}t_R \circ \phi^*(a^{(1)}) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^*\phi \rightharpoonup a = a^{(1)}s_R \circ {}^*\phi(a^{(2)})$$

for $\phi^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$, ${}^*\phi \in {}^*\mathcal{A}$ and $a \in A$.

It is also proven in [14] that if the L (R) module structure on A is finitely generated projective then the corresponding dual has also a bialgebroid structure.

3 Hopf Algebroid

Let $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ be a left bialgebroid and $\mathcal{A}_R = (A, R, s_R, t_R, \gamma_R, \pi_R)$ a right bialgebroid such that the base rings are anti-isomorphic $R \simeq L^{op}$. Require that

$$s_L(L) = t_R(R) \quad \text{and} \quad t_L(L) = s_R(R) \quad (3.1)$$

as subrings of A . The requirement (3.1) implies that the coproduct γ_L is a quadro-module map ${}^R A_L^R \rightarrow {}^R A_{L'}^R \otimes_{L'} {}^L A_L^R$ and γ_R is a quadro-module map ${}^R A_L^R \rightarrow {}^R A_{R'}^R \otimes_{R'} {}^L A_L^R$. (The L' and R' denote another copy of L and R , respectively). This allows us to require that

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_L \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_R &= (\text{id}_A \otimes \gamma_R) \circ \gamma_L \\ (\gamma_R \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_L &= (\text{id}_A \otimes \gamma_L) \circ \gamma_R \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

as maps $A \rightarrow A_L \otimes_L {}^L A^R \otimes_R {}^R A$ and $A \rightarrow {}^R A^R \otimes_R {}^R A_L \otimes_L {}^L A$, respectively.

Let $S : A \rightarrow A$ be a bijection of additive groups such that S is a twisted isomorphism of bimodules ${}^L A_L \rightarrow {}^L A^L$ and ${}^R A_R \rightarrow {}^R A^R$ that is

$$S(t_L(l)at_L(l')) = s_L(l')S(a)s_L(l) \quad S(t_R(r')at_R(r)) = s_R(r)S(a)s_R(r') \quad (3.3)$$

for all $l, l' \in L$, $r, r' \in R$ and $a \in A$. The requirement (3.3) makes the expressions $S(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}$ and $a^{(1)}S(a^{(2)})$ meaningful. We require

$$S(a_{(1)})a_{(2)} = s_R \circ \pi_R(a) \quad a^{(1)}S(a^{(2)}) = s_L \circ \pi_L(a) \quad (3.4)$$

for all a in A .

Definition 3.1 *The triple $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ satisfying (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) is a Hopf algebroid.*

Throughout the paper we use the analogue of the Sweedler's notation: $\gamma_L(a) = a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$ and $\gamma_R(a) = a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)}$.

For a Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ also the opposite $\mathcal{A}^{op} = (\mathcal{A}_R^{op}, \mathcal{A}_L^{op}, S^{-1})$ and the co-opposite $\mathcal{A}_{cop} = (\mathcal{A}_{L\ cop}, \mathcal{A}_{R\ cop}, S^{-1})$ are Hopf algebroids.

In the Section 4 we will investigate the way in which (weak) Hopf algebra is a subcase.

The antipode of a Hopf algebra is a bialgebra anti-homomorphism. This property generalizes to Hopf algebroids as

Proposition 3.2 *Both $(S, \pi_R \circ s_L)$ and $(S^{-1}, \pi_R \circ t_L)$ are left bialgebroid isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}_L \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_R)_{cop}^{op}$. That is*

$$\begin{aligned} s_R \circ \pi_R \circ s_L &= S \circ s_L & s_R \circ \pi_R \circ t_L &= S^{-1} \circ s_L \\ t_R \circ \pi_R \circ s_L &= S \circ t_L & t_R \circ \pi_R \circ t_L &= S^{-1} \circ t_L \\ \pi_R \circ s_L \circ \pi_L &= \pi_R \circ S & \pi_R \circ t_L \circ \pi_L &= \pi_R \circ S^{-1} \\ S_{A \otimes_L A} \circ \gamma_L &= \gamma_R \circ S & S_{A \otimes_R A}^{-1} \circ \gamma_L &= \gamma_R \circ S^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{A \otimes_L A}$ is a map $A_L \otimes_L A \rightarrow A^R \otimes^R A$, it maps $a \otimes b$ to $S(b) \otimes S(a)$. Similarly, $S_{A \otimes_R A}$ is a map $A^R \otimes^R A \rightarrow A_L \otimes_L A$, it maps $a \otimes b$ to $S(b) \otimes S(a)$.

The datum $(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ determining a Hopf algebroid is somewhat redundant. Indeed, suppose that we have given only a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ and an anti-isomorphism S of the total ring A satisfying

$$S \circ t_L = s_L \quad (3.5)$$

$$m_A \circ (S \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_L = t_L \circ \pi_L \circ S \quad (3.6)$$

$$S_{A \otimes_L A} \circ \gamma_L \circ S^{-1} = S_{A \otimes_R A}^{-1} \circ \gamma_L \circ S \quad (3.7)$$

$$(\gamma_L \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_R = (\text{id}_A \otimes \gamma_R) \circ \gamma_L \quad (\gamma_R \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_L = (\text{id}_A \otimes \gamma_L) \circ \gamma_R \quad (3.8)$$

for m_A the multiplication in A and $\gamma_R := S_{A \otimes_L A} \circ \gamma_L \circ S^{-1}$. Then the right bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R$ – together with which $(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ is a Hopf algebroid – can be reconstructed upto a trivial bialgebroid isomorphism. Namely, it follows from Proposition 3.2 that

$$\mathcal{A}_R = (A, R, S \circ s_L \circ \nu^{-1}, s_L \circ \nu^{-1}, S_{A \otimes_L A} \circ \gamma_L \circ S^{-1}, \nu \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1})$$

for an arbitrary isomorphism $\nu : L^{op} \rightarrow R$.

4 Twist of the Hopf algebroid

It is clear that being given the left and right bialgebroids $\mathcal{A}_L$ and $\mathcal{A}_R$ satisfying the axioms (3.1) and (3.2) the antipode – if exists – is unique. Indeed, if both S_1 and S_2 make $(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S_2)$ Hopf algebroids then

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(a) &= s_R \circ \pi_R(a^{(1)}) S_2(a^{(2)}) = S_1(a^{(1)}_{(1)}) a^{(1)}_{(2)} S_2(a^{(2)}) = S_1(a_{(1)}) a_{(2)}^{(1)} S_2(a_{(2)}^{(2)}) = \\ &= S_1(a_{(1)}) s_L \circ \pi_L(a_{(2)}) = S_1(a). \end{aligned}$$

There are some examples however in which only the left bialgebroid structure is naturally given and we have some ambiguity in the choice of the right bialgebroid structure and the corresponding antipode. (See for example the Hopf algebroid symmetry of a depth 2 Frobenius extension of rings in Section 3 of [1] or at the end of Section 6 below. In this example the ambiguity is nicely controlled by the Radon-Nykodim derivative relating the possible Frobenius maps.) In the following we address the question more generally: Given a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L$ how are the possible antipodes satisfying the conditions (3.5-3.8) related?

Definition 4.1 *Let $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ be subject to the conditions (3.5-3.8). An invertible element g_* of $\mathcal{A}_*$ is called a twist of $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ if for all elements a, b of A*

$$\begin{aligned} i) \quad & 1_A \leftarrow g_* = 1_A \\ ii) \quad & (a \leftarrow g_*)(b \leftarrow g_*) = ab \leftarrow g_* \\ iii) \quad & S(a_{(1)}) \leftarrow g_* \otimes a_{(2)} = S(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)} \leftarrow g_*^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The condition iii) is understood to be an identity in the product of the modules A^L and the left L -module on A :

$$l \cdot a = s_L \circ g_*^{-1} \circ s_L(l)a$$

where $g_* \circ s_L$ is an automorphism of L with inverse $g_*^{-1} \circ s_L$.

The twist of Definition 4.1 generalizes the notion of the character on a Hopf algebra. Clearly the twists of $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ form a group.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ be subject to the conditions (3.5-3.8). Then $(\mathcal{A}_L, S')$ is subject to the conditions (3.5-3.8) if and only if there exists a twist g_* of $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ such that $S'(a) = S(a \leftarrow g_*)$ for all $a \in A$.*

Remark 4.3 *For $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ a Hopf algebra the twisted antipode of the above form was introduced in [6]. In the view of Theorem 4.2 the twisted Hopf algebras in [6] are Hopf algebroids in the sense of Definition 3.1.*

Proof of the Theorem: if part: For a twist g_* the map $S_g(a) := S(a \leftarrow g_*)$ is bijective with inverse $S_g^{-1}(a) = S^{-1}(a) \leftarrow g_*^{-1}$. It is anti-multiplicative by ii) of (4.1). Using the property i) of (4.1)

$$S_g \circ t_L(l) = S(t_L(l) \leftarrow g_*) = S((1_A \leftarrow g_*)t_L(l)) = S \circ t_L(l) = s_L(l).$$

By $\gamma_L(a \leftarrow g_*) = (a_{(1)} \leftarrow g_*) \otimes a_{(2)}$ and $S \circ t_R \circ \pi_R = t_L \circ \pi_L \circ S$ we have

$$S_g(a_{(1)})a_{(2)} = S(a_{(1)} \leftarrow g_*)a_{(2)} = s_R \circ \pi_R(a \leftarrow g_*) = t_L \circ \pi_L \circ S_g(a).$$

In order to check the property (3.7) of $(\mathcal{A}_L, S_g)$ rewrite iii) of (4.1) into the equivalent form

$$S(a_{(1)}) \otimes S_g(a_{(2)}) = S_g^{-1} \circ S^2(a_{(1)}) \otimes S(a_{(2)}). \quad (4.2)$$

Then using the fact that $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ satisfies (3.7) introduce $a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} := S_{A \otimes_L A} \circ \gamma_L \circ S^{-1}(a) \equiv S_{A \otimes_R A}^{-1} \circ \gamma_L \circ S(a)$. By (4.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_g(S_g^{-1}(a)_{(2)}) \otimes S_g(S_g^{-1}(a)_{(1)}) &= S_g(S^{-1}(a)_{(2)}) \otimes S_g(S^{-1}(a)_{(1)}) \leftarrow g_*^{-1} = \\ &= S_g(S^{-1}(a)_{(2)}) \otimes S(S^{-1}(a)_{(1)}) = S(S^{-1}(a)_{(2)}) \otimes S_g^{-1} \circ S^2(S^{-1}(a)_{(1)}) = \\ &= a^{(1)} \otimes S_g^{-1} \circ S(a^{(2)}) = S_g^{-1} \circ S(a^{(1)} \leftarrow g_*) \otimes S_g^{-1} \circ S(a^{(2)}) = \\ &= S_g^{-1}(S(a \leftarrow g_*)_{(2)}) \otimes S_g^{-1}(S(a \leftarrow g_*)_{(1)}) = S_g^{-1}(S_g(a)_{(2)}) \otimes S_g^{-1}(S_g(a)_{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

The last condition (3.8) on $(\mathcal{A}_L, S_g)$ follows then easily by using the two forms of $S_g \circ A \otimes_L A \circ \gamma_L \circ S_g^{-1}(a) \equiv \gamma_{gR}(a) = a^{(1)} \otimes S_g^{-1} \circ S(a^{(2)})$ and $\gamma_{gR}(a) = S_g \circ S^{-1}(a^{(1)}) \otimes a^{(2)}$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_L \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_{gR}(a) &= a^{(1)}_{(1)} \otimes a^{(1)}_{(2)} \otimes S_g^{-1} \circ S(a^{(2)}) = a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}^{(1)} \otimes S_g^{-1} \circ S(a_{(2)}^{(2)}) = \\ &= (\text{id}_A \otimes \gamma_{gR}) \circ \gamma_L(a) \\ (\text{id}_A \otimes \gamma_L) \circ \gamma_{gR}(a) &= S_g \circ S^{-1}(a^{(1)}) \otimes a^{(2)}_{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)}_{(2)} = S_g \circ S^{-1}(a_{(1)}^{(1)}) \otimes a_{(1)}^{(2)} \otimes a_{(2)} = \\ &= (\gamma_{gR} \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_L(a). \end{aligned}$$

only if part: Let $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_L, S')$ be subject to the conditions (3.5-3.8). By the considerations at the end of Section 3 we can construct the right bialgebroids $\mathcal{A}_R$ and $\mathcal{A}'_{R'}$ such that both $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}'_{R'}, S')$ are Hopf algebroids. Denoting the coproducts in $\mathcal{A}_R$ and $\mathcal{A}'_{R'}$ by $\gamma_R(a) = a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)}$ and $\gamma'_{R'}(a) = a^{(1)'} \otimes a^{(2)'}$, respectively, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : {}^L A \otimes A_L &\rightarrow A_L \otimes {}^L A & a \otimes b &\mapsto a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} b \\ \beta : A^L \otimes {}^L A &\rightarrow {}^L A \otimes A_L & a \otimes b &\mapsto a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)} b \end{aligned}$$

are easily shown to be bijections with inverses

$$\begin{aligned} a^{(1)} \otimes S(a^{(2)})b &= \alpha^{-1}(a \otimes b) = a^{(1)'} \otimes S'(a^{(2)'})b \\ a^{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(a^{(1)})b &= \beta^{-1}(a \otimes b) = a^{(2)'} \otimes S'^{-1}(a^{(1)'})b. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

(This means that $\mathcal{A}_L$ and $(\mathcal{A}_L)_{\text{cop}}$ are $\times_L$ -Hopf algebras in the sense of [26].)

We construct the twist $\pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'$. It is an invertible element of $\mathcal{A}_*$ with inverse $\pi_L \circ S'^{-1} \circ S$:

$$\begin{aligned} [(\pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S')(\pi_L \circ S'^{-1} \circ S)](a) &= \pi_L \circ S'^{-1} \circ S(s_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}) = \\ &= \pi_L \circ S'^{-1} \circ S(s_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1}[S'(a)^{(2)'}]S'^{-1}[S'(a)^{(1)'}]) = \\ &= \pi_L \circ S'^{-1} \circ S(s_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1}[S'(a)^{(2)}]S^{-1}[S'(a)^{(1)}]) = \\ &= \pi_L \circ S'^{-1}(S'(a)^{(1)}s_R \circ \pi_R(S'(a)^{(2)})) = \pi_L(a) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where in the third step (4.3) has been used. The relation $(\pi_L \circ S'^{-1} \circ S)(\pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S') = \pi_L$ follows by interchanging the roles of S and S' .

For all elements a, b in A we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_A \leftarrow \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S' &= s_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'(1_A) = 1_A \\ (a \leftarrow \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S')(b \leftarrow \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S') &= \\ &= s_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}s_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'(b_{(1)})b_{(2)} = \\ &= s_L \circ \pi_L(S^{-1} \circ S'(a_{(1)})t_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'(b_{(1)}))a_{(2)}b_{(2)} = \\ &= s_L \circ \pi_L(S^{-1} \circ S'(a_{(1)})S^{-1} \circ S'(b_{(1)}))a_{(2)}b_{(2)} = s_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'(a_{(1)}b_{(1)})a_{(2)}b_{(2)} = \\ &= ab \leftarrow \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.3) we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} S(a \leftharpoonup \pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S') &= S \left(s_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1} [S'(a)^{(2)'}] S'^{-1} [S'(a)^{(1)'}] \right) = \\ &= S \left(s_L \circ \pi_L \circ S^{-1} [S'(a)^{(2)}] S^{-1} [S'(a)^{(1)}] \right) = S'(a)^{(1)} s_R \circ \pi_R (S'(a)^{(2)}) = S'(a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

In order to check that $\pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'$ satisfies iii) of (4.1) rewrite (4.3) into the equivalent forms:

$$\begin{aligned} a^{(1)} \otimes S'^{-1} \circ S(a^{(2)}) &= a^{(1)'} \otimes a^{(2)'} = S' \circ S^{-1}(a^{(1)}) \otimes a^{(2)} \\ \Leftrightarrow S^{-1}(S(a)_{(2)}) \otimes S'^{-1}(S(a)_{(1)}) &= S'(S^{-1}(a)_{(2)}) \otimes S(S^{-1}(a)_{(1)}) \\ \Leftrightarrow S(a_{(2)}) \otimes S'^{-1} \circ S^2(a_{(1)}) &= S'(a_{(2)}) \otimes S(a_{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.5) the last form is equivalent to iii) of (4.1). This proves that $\pi_L \circ S^{-1} \circ S'$ is a twist relating S' to S . ■

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (\mathcal{A}'_L, \mathcal{A}'_R, S')$ be Hopf algebroids such that the underlying left bialgebroids $\mathcal{A}_L$ and $\mathcal{A}'_L$ are isomorphic via the isomorphism $(\Phi : A \rightarrow A', \phi : L \rightarrow L')$. Then by Proposition 3.2 also the underlying right bialgebroids $\mathcal{A}_R$ and $\mathcal{A}'_R$ are isomorphic and by Theorem 4.2 $S'(a') = \Phi \circ S(\Phi^{-1}(a') \leftharpoonup g_*)$ for a unique twist g_* of $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ and all $a' \in A'$. The Hopf algebroids $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are called *bialgebroid isomorphic* in the following.

Recall from [1] that a weak Hopf algebra $\mathbf{H} = (H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ over a commutative ring k with bijective antipode S determines a Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_L, \mathcal{H}_R, S)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_L = (H, L, \text{id}_L, S^{-1}|_L, p_L \circ \Delta, \sqcap^L) \quad (4.6)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_R = (H, R, \text{id}_R, S^{-1}|_R, p_R \circ \Delta, \sqcap^R) \quad (4.7)$$

where $\sqcap^L : H \rightarrow H$ is defined as $h \mapsto \varepsilon(1_{[1]}h)1_{[2]}$, $1_{[1]} \otimes 1_{[2]} = \Delta(1)$, $L := \sqcap^L(H)$, and p_L is the canonical projection $H \otimes_k H \rightarrow H \otimes_L H$ the $L - L$ -bimodule structure on H being given by

$$l \cdot h \cdot l' := l S^{-1}(l') h.$$

Similarly, $\sqcap^R : H \rightarrow H$ is defined as $h \mapsto 1_{[1]} \varepsilon(h 1_{[2]})$, $R := \sqcap^R(H)$, and p_R is the canonical projection $H \otimes_k H \rightarrow H \otimes_R H$ the $R - R$ -bimodule structure on H being given by

$$r \cdot h \cdot r' := h r' S^{-1}(r).$$

In the view of Theorem 4.2 we can obtain examples of Hopf algebroids by twisting weak Hopf algebras. The twists of the datum $(\mathcal{H}_L, S)$ are the characters on the weak Hopf algebra $\mathbf{H}$ or – if H is finite dimensional as a k -space – the group-like elements [3, 35] in the k -dual weak Hopf algebra $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$.

The twistings of cocommutative Hopf algebras are of particular interest as they provide examples of Hopf algebroids in the sense of Definition 3.1 that do *not* satisfy the Lu-Hopf algebroid axioms of [18]. (For [18]'s definition see the Introduction above.) If $\mathcal{H}_L$ is the left bialgebroid (4.6) corresponding to the *cocommutative k -Hopf algebra* $\mathbf{H}$ that is $\mathcal{H}_L = (H, L \equiv k, s_L \equiv \eta, t_L \equiv \eta, \gamma_L \equiv \Delta, \pi_L \equiv \varepsilon)$ – where $\eta : k \rightarrow H$ is the unit map $\lambda \mapsto \lambda 1_H$ – then the base ring is k itself, so the canonical projection is the identity map $p_L = \text{id}_{H \otimes_k H}$. Then also $\xi = \text{id}_{H \otimes_k H}$. Let χ be a character on $\mathbf{H}$ that is an algebra homomorphism $H \rightarrow k$, and $S_\chi := (\chi \otimes S) \circ \Delta$ the twisted antipode. Then denoting $\Delta(h) = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_L \circ \pi_L(h) &= \varepsilon(h) 1_H \quad \text{and} \\ h_{(1)} S_\chi(h_{(2)}) &= h_{(1)} \chi(h_{(2)}) S(h_{(3)}) = \chi(h_{(1)}) h_{(2)} S(h_{(3)}) = \chi(h_{(1)}) \varepsilon(h_{(2)}) 1_H = \chi(h) 1_H \end{aligned}$$

hence $(\mathcal{H}_L, S_\chi)$ – which satisfies the conditions (3.5-3.8) by Theorem 4.2, hence defines a Hopf algebroid in the sense of Definition 3.1 – is a Lu-Hopf algebroid (with the only possible section $\xi = \text{id}_{H \otimes_k H}$) if and only if $\chi = \varepsilon$. It is easy, however, to find non-trivial characters on cocommutative Hopf algebras. In the simplest case of the group Hopf algebra kZ_2 we can set $\chi(t) = -t$ (where t is the second order generator of Z_2) if the characteristic of k is different from 2. This gives the Example presented in [1] proving that the two definitions – the one in [1] and the one in [18] – of Hopf algebroid are not equivalent.

We know from the above considerations that the weak Hopf algebras with bijective antipodes provide examples of Hopf algebroids. In the following we identify the Hopf algebroids that arise this way.

It is proven in [25, 28] that a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ has a weak bialgebra structure *if and only if* A is an algebra over a commutative ring k and L is a separable k -algebra. Indeed let us fix a separability structure, that is a datum $(L, k, \delta : L \rightarrow L \otimes_k L, \psi : L \rightarrow k)$ where δ is a coassociative coproduct with counit ψ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id}_L \otimes_k m_L) \circ (\delta \otimes_k \text{id}_L) &= \delta \quad \circ \quad m_L = (m_L \otimes_k \text{id}_L) \circ (\text{id}_L \otimes_k \delta) \\ m_L \circ \delta &= \text{id}_L. \end{aligned}$$

The m_L denotes the multiplication in L . The weak bialgebra structure on A , corresponding to the given separability structure, reads as

$$\Delta(a) := t_L(e_i)a_{(1)} \otimes s_L(f_i)a_{(2)}, \quad \varepsilon(a) = \psi \circ \pi_L(a) \quad (4.8)$$

where $\sum_i e_i \otimes f_i = \delta(1_L)$ and the summation symbol is omitted.

On the other hand if $\mathbf{H} = (H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a weak bialgebra over the commutative ring k then a separability structure (L, k, δ, ψ) is given by

$$\delta(l) = l \sqcap^L (1_{[1]}) \otimes 1_{[2]} \equiv \sqcap^L (1_{[1]}) \otimes 1_{[2]} l. \quad (4.9)$$

This implies that the separability of the base algebra L is a necessary condition for the Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ to have a weak Hopf algebra structure. The different separability structures determine however different weak bialgebra structures (4.8). The given antipode S of the Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ cannot make all of them into a weak Hopf algebra. The following Theorem 4.4 gives a criterion on the separability structure (L, k, δ, ψ) under which the corresponding weak bialgebra (4.8) together with (a twist of) the antipode S becomes a weak Hopf algebra.

Let $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ be a left bialgebroid such that A is an algebra over a commutative ring k and L is a separable k -algebra. Let us fix a separability structure (L, k, δ, ψ) and introduce the notation $\delta(1_L) = e_i \otimes f_i$ (summation on i is understood). Let Δ and ε be as in (4.8). Then we can equip the k -space $\hat{A}$ of k -linear maps $A \rightarrow k$ with an algebra structure with the multiplication

$$\phi\phi' := (\phi \otimes \phi') \circ \Delta \quad (4.10)$$

and unit ε . Now we are ready to formulate

Theorem 4.4 *Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ be a Hopf algebroid such that the total ring A is an algebra over a commutative ring k and the base ring L of $\mathcal{A}_L$ is a separable k -algebra. Then fixing a separability structure (L, k, δ, ψ) the corresponding weak bialgebra (4.8) and the antipode S form a weak Hopf algebra if and only if $\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S = \psi \circ \pi_L$. Furthermore, there exists a twisted antipode S_g making the weak bialgebra (4.8) a weak Hopf algebra if and only if the element $\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S$ of the algebra $\hat{A}$ – defined in (4.10) – is invertible.*

Proof: if part: The separability structure (L, k, δ, ψ) defines an isomorphism of the algebras $\mathcal{A}_*$ – the L -dual algebra (2.2) of $\mathcal{A}_L$ – and $\hat{A}$ in (4.10):

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa : \hat{A} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_* & \phi &\mapsto (a \mapsto \phi(t_L(e_i)a)f_i) \\ \kappa^{-1} : \mathcal{A}_* &\rightarrow \hat{A} & \phi_* &\mapsto \psi \circ \phi_*. \end{aligned}$$

The element $\kappa(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S)$ satisfies the properties *i)-iii)* of Definition 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_A &\leftarrow \kappa(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S) = s_L(f_i)\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S \circ t_L(e_i) = s_L(1_L) = 1_A \\ (a &\leftarrow \kappa(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S))(b \leftarrow \kappa(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S)) = \\ &= s_L(f_i)a_{(2)}s_L(f_j)b_{(2)}\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_i)a_{(1)})\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_j)b_{(1)}) = \\ &= s_L(f_i)a_{(2)}b_{(2)}\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L[e_j\psi(f_j\pi_L \circ S\{t_L(e_i)a_{(1)}\})]b_{(1)}) = \\ &= s_L(f_i)a_{(2)}b_{(2)}\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_i)a_{(1)})b_{(1)} = ab \leftarrow \kappa(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(a_{(1)}) &\leftarrow \kappa(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S) \otimes a_{(2)} \leftarrow \kappa(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S) = \\
&= s_L(f_i)S(a_{(1)})_{(2)} \otimes s_L(f_j)a_{(2)(2)}\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_i)S(a_{(1)})_{(1)})\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_j)a_{(2)(1)}) = \\
&= s_L(f_i)S(a_{(1)})_{(2)}s_L(f_j) \otimes a_{(2)(2)}\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_i)S(a_{(1)})_{(1)})\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_j)a_{(2)(1)}) = \\
&= s_L(f_i)S(a_{(1)})^{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)(2)}\psi \left(f_j \pi_L \circ S \left[t_L(e_i)S(a_{(1)})^{(2)} \right] \right) \psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_j)a_{(2)(1)}) = \\
&= s_L(f_i)S(a_{(1)})^{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)(2)}\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_i)S(a_{(1)})^{(2)})a_{(2)(1)} = \\
&= s_L(f_i)S(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)}^{(2)}\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(t_L(e_i)S(a_{(1)})^{(2)})a_{(2)(1)(2)} = \\
&= s_L(f_i)S(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)}^{(2)}\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S \circ t_L(\pi_L \circ S(a_{(1)}^{(2)})e_i) = \\
&= \psi \left(\pi_L \circ S(a_{(1)}^{(2)})e_i \right) s_L(f_i)S(a_{(1)})^{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} = \\
&= S \circ s_R \circ \pi_R(a_{(1)}^{(2)})S(a_{(1)})^{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} = S(a_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

hence – since $\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S \in \hat{A}$ is invertible by assumption – both $\kappa(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S)$ and its inverse $g_* := \kappa(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S)^{-1}$ are twists of $(\mathcal{A}_L, S)$ in the sense of Definition 4.1.

Using the standard properties [36] of the quasi-basis $e_i \otimes f_i$ of ψ one checks that the twisted antipode $S_g : a \mapsto S(a \leftarrow g_*)$ makes the weak bialgebra (4.8) a weak Hopf algebra. That is by definition

$$\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S_g = \kappa^{-1}(g_*)(\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S) = \psi \circ \pi_L.$$

Since $S_g \circ t_L = S \circ t_L = s_L$ we have

$$\psi(\pi_L(a)\pi_L \circ S_g^2 \circ t_L(l)) = \psi \circ \pi_L(S_g^2 \circ t_L(l)s_L \circ \pi_L(a)) = \psi \circ \pi_L(t_L \circ \pi_L(a)s_L(l)) = \psi(l\pi_L(a)).$$

This implies that $e_i \otimes f_i = f_i \otimes \pi_L \circ S_g^{-2} \circ t_L(e_i)$ and hence $e_i \otimes s_L(f_i) = f_i \otimes S_g^{-1} \circ t_L(e_i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
m_A \circ (S_g \otimes_k \text{id}_A) &\circ \Delta(a) = S(s_L \circ g_*(a_{(1)})t_L(e_i)a_{(2)})s_L(f_i)a_{(3)} = s_R \circ \pi_R(a \leftarrow g_*) = \\
&= t_L \circ \pi_L \circ S_g(a) = \psi(\pi_L \circ S_g(a)e_i)t_L(f_i) = \\
&= \psi \circ \pi_L(aS_g^{-1} \circ t_L(e_i))t_L(f_i) = t_L(e_i)\psi \circ \pi_L(as_L(f_i)) \\
m_A \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes_k S_g) &\circ \Delta(a) = t_L(e_i)a_{(1)}S(a_{(3)})S \circ s_L \circ g_*(s_L(f_i)a_{(2)}) = \\
&= t_L(e_i)a_{(1)}t_L(e_k)\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(a_{(3)}^{(1)}t_L(f_k))S(s_L \circ g_*(s_L(f_i)a_{(2)})a_{(3)}^{(2)}) = \\
&= t_L(e_i)a_{(1)}t_L(e_k)\psi \circ \pi_L \circ S(s_L \circ g_*[s_L(f_i)a_{(2)}^{(1)}]a_{(2)}^{(1)(2)}t_L(f_k))S(a_{(2)}^{(2)}) = \\
&= t_L(e_i)a_{(1)}t_L(e_k)\psi \circ \pi_L(s_L(f_i)a_{(2)}^{(1)}t_L(f_k))S(a_{(2)}^{(2)}) = \\
&= t_L \circ \pi_L(a_{(1)}^{(2)}t_L(f_k))a_{(1)}^{(1)}t_L(e_k)S(a_{(2)}^{(2)}) = s_L \circ \pi_L(a) = \psi \circ \pi_L(t_L(e_i)a)s_L(f_i) \\
S_g(t_L(e_i)a_{(1)}) &s_L(f_i)t_L(e_j)a_{(2)}S_g(s_L(f_j)a_{(3)}) = S_g(a_{(1)})s_L \circ \pi_L(a_{(2)}) = S_g(a)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$. This finishes the proof of the if part.

only if part: If $\mathcal{H}_L$ is a left bialgebroid (4.6) corresponding to the weak Hopf algebra $\mathbf{H}$ then its base ring L is a separable k -algebra [3, 25]. The separability structure (4.9) is determined by the weak Hopf data in $\mathbf{H}$. Now if the datum $(\mathcal{H}_L, S')$ is obtained as a twist of the datum $(\mathcal{H}_L, S)$ then the twist element relating them is of the form

$$\sqcap^L \circ S^{-1} \circ S' = \kappa(\varepsilon \circ \sqcap^L \circ S^{-1} \circ S') = \kappa(\varepsilon \circ S^{-1} \circ S') = \kappa(\varepsilon \circ S') = \kappa(\varepsilon \circ \sqcap^L \circ S')$$

which is an invertible element of $\mathcal{H}_*$, by definition. Since κ is an algebra isomorphism this proves that $\varepsilon \circ \sqcap^L \circ S'$ is an invertible element of $\hat{H}$, hence the claim. ■

Remark 4.5 Proposition 4.4 implies that the Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ is obtained by a twist of a Hopf algebra over the commutative ring k if and only if the following conditions hold true:

- i) $L \simeq R^{op}$ is isomorphic to k
- ii) A is a k – algebra
- iii) $\pi_L \circ S : A \rightarrow k$ is invertible in $\hat{A}$, the k – dual algebra of A

In particular it is a Hopf algebra if and only if the conditions i) and ii) hold true and $\pi_L \circ S = \pi_L$.

5 The theory of integrals

The left/right *integrals* in a Hopf algebra $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ are the invariants of left/right regular module. That is $\ell/\Upsilon \in H$ is a left/right integral if

$$h\ell = \varepsilon(h)\ell \quad / \quad \Upsilon h = \Upsilon \varepsilon(h)$$

for all $h \in H$. This notion has been generalized to a weak Hopf algebra $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ as $\ell/\Upsilon \in H$ is a left/right integral if

$$h\ell = \square^L(h)\ell \quad / \quad \Upsilon h = \Upsilon \square^R(h)$$

for all $h \in H$ where $\square^L(h) = \varepsilon(1_{[1]}h)1_{[2]}$, $\square^R(h) = 1_{[1]}\varepsilon(h1_{[2]})$ and $1_{[1]} \otimes 1_{[2]} = \Delta(1_H)$.

It is then straightforward to generalize the notion of integrals to Hopf algebroids:

Definition 5.1 *The left integrals in a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ are the elements $\ell \in A$ that satisfy*

$$a\ell = s_L \circ \pi_L(a)\ell$$

for all $a \in A$. The right ideal of left integrals is denoted by $\mathcal{I}^L(\mathcal{A})$. The right integrals in the right bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R = (A, R, s_R, t_R, \gamma_R, \pi_R)$ are the elements $\Upsilon \in A$ for which

$$\Upsilon a = \Upsilon s_R \circ \pi_R(a)$$

for all $a \in A$. The left ideal of right integrals is denoted by $\mathcal{I}^R(\mathcal{A})$.

In a Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ the left/right integrals are the left/right integrals in $\mathcal{A}_L/\mathcal{A}_R$.

As a support of this definition the Lemma 3.2 of [3] generalizes as

Lemma 5.2 *The following are equivalent:*

- i) $\ell \in \mathcal{I}^L(\mathcal{A})$
- ii) $a\ell = t_L \circ \pi_L(a)\ell$ for all $a \in A$
- iii) $S(\ell) \in \mathcal{I}^R(\mathcal{A})$
- iv) $S^{-1}(\ell) \in \mathcal{I}^R(\mathcal{A})$
- v) $S(a)\ell^{(1)} \otimes \ell^{(2)} = \ell^{(1)} \otimes a\ell^{(2)}$ as elements of $A^R \otimes^R A$ for all $a \in A$.

A left integral in a Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ is also a left integral in $\mathcal{A}_{cop}$ and it is a right integral in $\mathcal{A}^{op}$.

For the Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ we introduce the following notation: Let $\mathcal{A}^*$ and ${}^*\mathcal{A}$ denote the dual rings (2.3) of the right bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R$ and ${}^*\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_*$ denote the dual rings (2.2) of the left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L$. We define the non-degeneracy of an integral as follows:

Definition 5.3 *The left integral $\ell \in \mathcal{I}^L(\mathcal{A})$ is non-degenerate if the maps*

$$\ell_R : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow A \quad \phi^* \mapsto \phi^* \rightharpoonup \ell \quad \text{and} \quad (5.1)$$

$${}_R\ell : {}^*\mathcal{A} \rightarrow A \quad {}^*\phi \mapsto {}^*\phi \rightharpoonup \ell \quad (5.2)$$

are bijective. A right integral $\Upsilon \in \mathcal{I}^R(\mathcal{A})$ is non-degenerate if the maps

$${}_L\Upsilon : {}^*\mathcal{A} \rightarrow A \quad {}^*\phi \mapsto \Upsilon \leftarrow {}^*\phi \quad \text{and} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\Upsilon_L : \mathcal{A}_* \rightarrow A \quad \phi_* \mapsto \Upsilon \leftarrow \phi_* \quad (5.4)$$

are bijective.

The identities

$$\begin{aligned} S(\phi^* \rightharpoonup a) &= S(a) \leftharpoonup \pi_L \circ s_R \circ \phi^* \circ S^{-1} & S^{-1}(\phi^* \rightharpoonup a) &= S^{-1}(a) \leftharpoonup \pi_L \circ t_R \circ \phi^* \circ S \\ S(*\phi \rightharpoonup a) &= S(a) \leftharpoonup \pi_L \circ s_R \circ *\phi \circ S^{-1} & S^{-1}(*\phi \rightharpoonup a) &= S^{-1}(a) \leftharpoonup \pi_L \circ t_R \circ *\phi \circ S \end{aligned}$$

imply that ℓ is a non-degenerate left integral if and only if $S(\ell)$ is a non-degenerate right integral and if and only if $S^{-1}(\ell)$ is a non-degenerate right integral.

Let ℓ be a non-degenerate left integral in the Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A}$. Introducing $\lambda^* := \ell_R^{-1}(1_A)$ and $*\lambda := {}_R\ell^{-1}(1_A)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda^* \leftharpoonup S(a)) \rightharpoonup \ell &= \ell^{(2)} t_R \circ \lambda^*(S(a)\ell^{(1)}) = a(\lambda^* \rightharpoonup \ell) = a \\ (*\lambda \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a)) \rightharpoonup \ell &= \ell^{(1)} s_R \circ *\lambda(S^{-1}(a)\ell^{(2)}) = a(*\lambda \rightharpoonup \ell) = a \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\ell_R^{-1}(a) = \lambda^* \leftharpoonup S(a) \quad {}_R\ell^{-1}(a) = *\lambda \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(a). \quad (5.5)$$

Recall that in the Definition 5.3 the non-degeneracy of a *left* integral is defined in terms of the duals $\mathcal{A}^*$ and $*\mathcal{A}$ of the *right* bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R$. The explanation of this is that – in the view of property *v*) in Lemma 5.2 – *this* notion of non-degeneracy is equivalent to the property that $(\lambda^*, \ell^{(1)} \otimes S(\ell^{(2)}))$ is a Frobenius system for the ring extension $s_R : R \rightarrow A$. This implies in particular that for a Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ possessing a non-degenerate left integral ℓ the modules $\mathcal{A}^R$, ${}^R\mathcal{A}$, ${}_L\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_L$ are all finitely generated projective. Hence by the results in [14] the corresponding duals $\mathcal{A}^*$ and $*\mathcal{A}$ carry left- and $*\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_*$ carry right bialgebroid structures. In addition to the maps ℓ_R and ${}_R\ell$ also the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_L : \mathcal{A}_* &\rightarrow A & \phi_* &\mapsto \ell \leftharpoonup \phi_* \\ {}_L\ell : *\mathcal{A} &\rightarrow A & *\phi &\mapsto \ell \leftharpoonup *\phi \end{aligned}$$

turn out to be bijective. The map

$$\tilde{S} : a \mapsto \ell \leftharpoonup (a \rightharpoonup \pi_L \circ s_R \circ \lambda^*) \quad (5.6)$$

is an anti-automorphism of the ring A and we have the following isomorphisms of left bialgebroids:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{A}_{*R})_{cop}^{op} & \xrightarrow{({}_L\ell^{-1} \circ \tilde{S}^{-1} \circ \ell_L, \text{id}_R)} & (*\mathcal{A}_R)_{cop}^{op} \\ \downarrow (\ell_R^{-1} \circ \ell_L, \pi_R \circ s_L) & & \downarrow ({}_R\ell^{-1} \circ {}_L\ell, \pi_R \circ t_L) \\ \mathcal{A}_L^* & \xrightarrow{({}_R\ell^{-1} \circ \tilde{S}^{-1} \circ \ell_R, \pi_R \circ S^{-1} \circ t_R)} & *\mathcal{A}_L \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}_R, S)$ be a Hopf algebroid with a non-degenerate left integral ℓ and let $\mathcal{A}' = (\mathcal{A}'_L, \mathcal{A}'_R, S')$ be a Hopf algebroid which is bialgebroid isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$ via the isomorphism $(\Phi : A \rightarrow A', \phi : L \rightarrow L')$ of left bialgebroids. Then $\Phi(\ell)$ is a non-degenerate left integral in $\mathcal{A}'$.

The antipode $S_* := \ell_L^{-1} \circ \tilde{S} \circ \ell_L$, $\phi_* \mapsto (\ell \leftharpoonup \phi_*) \rightharpoonup \pi_L \circ s_R \circ \lambda^*$ makes the dual right bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_{*R}$ into a Hopf algebroid called $\mathcal{A}_*^\ell$ possessing a two-sided non-degenerate integral $\pi_L \circ s_R \circ \lambda^*$. Clearly the bialgebroid isomorphism class of $\mathcal{A}_*^\ell$ does not depend on the choice of the non-degenerate integral ℓ . The *dual of the bialgebroid isomorphism class of $\mathcal{A}$* is then defined to be the bialgebroid isomorphism class of $\mathcal{A}_*^\ell$. This notion of duality is shown to be involutive and reproduces the duality of finite weak Hopf algebras [3] as follows:

Let $\mathbf{H} = (H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ be a finite weak Hopf algebra over the commutative ring k and let $\hat{\mathbf{H}} = (\hat{H}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{S})$ be its k -dual weak Hopf algebra [3]. The corresponding Hopf algebroids are denoted by $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_L, \mathcal{H}_R, S)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_L, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_R, \hat{S})$, respectively. Then the right bialgebroids $\mathcal{H}_{*R}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\hat{R}}$ are isomorphic via

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H}_* \rightarrow \hat{H} \quad \psi_* \mapsto \varepsilon \circ \psi_* \quad (5.7)$$

$$\phi : L \rightarrow \hat{R} \quad l \mapsto \varepsilon_{[1]} \varepsilon_{[2]}(l) \quad (5.8)$$

where $\varepsilon_{[1]} \otimes \varepsilon_{[2]} = \hat{\Delta}(\varepsilon)$. This implies that the Hopf algebroids $\mathcal{H}_*^\ell$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ are bialgebroid isomorphic for any choice of the non-degenerate left integral ℓ . Making use of the separability structure (4.9) we can equip $\mathcal{H}_*$ with a weak bialgebra structure. Since the weak Hopf structure on a weak bialgebra is unique, there is a unique twist of S_* that makes this weak bialgebra into a weak Hopf algebra. The map Φ in (5.7) is a weak Hopf algebra isomorphism from it to $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$.

6 Antipode from non-degenerate integral

The Larson-Sweedler theorem [16] on Hopf algebras states that a finite dimensional bialgebra over a field is a Hopf algebra if and only if it has a non-degenerate left integral. It has been generalized to weak Hopf algebras in [35]. At the moment no generalization to Hopf algebroids is known. In this section we present a proof of the ‘easy half’ of the Larson-Sweedler theorem. Namely we show that if a finite *right* bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R$ – that is a right bialgebroid such that A^R and ${}^R A$ are finitely generated projective – has a non-degenerate *left* integral then it can be made a Hopf algebroid.

Notice that though we called it the ‘easy half’ of the Larson-Sweedler theorem, it is non-trivial in the sense that left integrals have not been defined in right bialgebroids until now. Recall that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Hopf algebroid possessing a non-degenerate left integral ℓ then both maps ℓ_R and ${}_R \ell$ (5.1-5.2) are bijective and for all $a \in A$

$$\ell^{(1)} \otimes a\ell^{(2)} = S(a)\ell^{(1)} \otimes \ell^{(2)}.$$

The equations (5.5) imply that the antipode and its inverse can be written into the forms

$$\begin{aligned} S(a) &= (*\lambda \leftharpoonup a) \rightharpoonup \ell \\ S^{-1}(a) &= (\lambda^* \leftharpoonup a) \rightharpoonup \ell \end{aligned}$$

with the help of $\lambda^* = \ell_R^{-1}(1_A)$ and $*\lambda = {}_R \ell^{-1}(1_A)$. These formulae motivate

Definition 6.1 *An element ℓ of a finite right bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_R$ is a non-degenerate left integral if*

$$i) \quad \text{both maps } \ell_R \text{ and } {}_R \ell \text{ are bijective} \tag{6.1}$$

$$ii) \quad \ell^{(1)} \otimes a\ell^{(2)} = [(*\lambda \leftharpoonup a) \rightharpoonup \ell]\ell^{(1)} \otimes \ell^{(2)} \tag{6.2}$$

$$a\ell^{(1)} \otimes \ell^{(2)} = \ell^{(1)} \otimes [(\lambda^* \leftharpoonup a) \rightharpoonup \ell]\ell^{(2)} \tag{6.3}$$

as elements of $A^R \otimes {}^R A$ for all $a \in A$, where $\lambda^* = \ell_R^{-1}(1_A)$ and $*\lambda = {}_R \ell^{-1}(1_A)$.

The following Lemma is of technical use:

Lemma 6.2 *Let $\mathcal{A}_R = (A, R, s_R, t_R, \gamma_R, \pi_R)$ be a finite right bialgebroid and $k \in A$ such that the map*

$$k_R : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow A \quad \phi^* \mapsto \phi^* \rightharpoonup k$$

is bijective. Set $\kappa^ := k_R^{-1}(1_A)$. Then*

$$\kappa^* \rightharpoonup a = s_R \circ \kappa^*(a) \tag{6.4}$$

for all $a \in A$. Analogously, for $k \in A$ such that the map

$${}_R k : {}^* \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A \quad \phi \mapsto \phi \rightharpoonup k$$

is bijective set ${}^ \kappa := {}_R k^{-1}(1_A)$. Then*

$${}^* \kappa \rightharpoonup a = t_R \circ {}^* \kappa(a) \tag{6.5}$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof: Introduce the map $\hat{s} : R \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ via $\hat{s}(r)(a) = r\pi_R(a)$. With its help

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^* \kappa^* &= k_R^{-1}(\phi^* \kappa^* \rightharpoonup k) = k_R^{-1}(\phi^* \rightharpoonup 1_A) = k_R^{-1}(\hat{s} \circ \phi^*(1_A) \rightharpoonup 1_A) = \\ &= k_R^{-1}(\hat{s} \circ \phi^*(1_A) \kappa^* \rightharpoonup k) = \hat{s} \circ \phi^*(1_A) \kappa^*\end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$. This implies that

$$\phi^*(\kappa^* \rightharpoonup a) = (\phi^* \kappa^*)(a) = (\hat{s} \circ \phi^*(1_A) \kappa^*)(a) = \phi^*(1_A) \kappa^*(a) = \phi^*(s_R \circ \kappa^*(a))$$

for all $a \in A$ and $\phi^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Since A^R is finitely generated projective by assumption, this proves that $\kappa^* \rightharpoonup a = s_R \circ \kappa^*(a)$. The identity (6.5) follows by applying the same proof in $\mathcal{A}_{cop}$. ■

We are ready to formulate

Theorem 6.3 *Let $\mathcal{A}_R$ be a finite right bialgebroid with non-degenerate left integral ℓ . Let $\lambda^* := \ell_R^{-1}(1_A)$ and $\lambda := {}_R\ell^{-1}(1_A)$. Then the map $S(a) := (*\lambda \leftarrow a) \rightarrow \ell$ is an antipode making $\mathcal{A}_R$ into a Hopf algebroid. The element ℓ is a non-degenerate left integral in the resulting Hopf algebroid in the sense of Definition 5.3.*

Proof: We check that $(\mathcal{A}_R, S)$ satisfies the right analogues of the conditions (3.5-3.8) – what implies the claim. The conditions (6.2) and (6.3) imply that the map $S := (*\lambda \leftarrow a) \rightarrow \ell$ is bijective with inverse $S^{-1}(a) := (\lambda^* \leftarrow a) \rightharpoonup \ell$. It is anti-multiplicative by

$$S(b)S(a) = S(b)S(a)\ell^{(1)}s_R \circ^* \lambda(\ell^{(2)}) = \ell^{(1)}s_R \circ^* \lambda(ab\ell^{(2)}) = S(ab)\ell^{(1)}s_R \circ^* \lambda(\ell^{(2)}) = S(ab).$$

Also

$$S \circ t_R(r) = \ell^{(1)}s_R \circ^* \lambda(t_R(r)\ell^{(2)}) = s_R(r).$$

Using Lemma 6.2

$$a^{(1)}S(a^{(2)}) = a^{(1)}\ell^{(1)}s_R \circ^* \lambda(a^{(2)}\ell^{(2)}) = *\lambda \rightarrow a\ell = t_R \circ^* \lambda(a\ell) = t_R \circ \pi_R ((*\lambda \leftarrow a) \rightarrow \ell) = t_R \circ \pi_R \circ S(a).$$

Using the identities

$$\gamma_R \circ S(a) = \ell^{(1)} \otimes (*\lambda \leftarrow a) \rightarrow \ell^{(2)} \quad (6.6)$$

$$\gamma_R \circ S^{-1}(a) = (\lambda^* \leftarrow a) \rightharpoonup \ell^{(1)} \otimes \ell^{(2)} \quad (6.7)$$

one shows that

$$\begin{aligned}S\left(S^{-1}(a)^{(2)}\right) \otimes S\left(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)}\right)^{(1)} \otimes S\left(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)}\right)^{(2)} &= \\ &= S(\ell^{(3)}) \otimes \ell^{(1')} \otimes \ell^{(2')}s_R \circ^* \lambda\left(\ell^{(2)}t_R \circ \lambda^*(a\ell^{(1)})\ell^{(3')}\right) = \\ &= S(\ell^{(3)}) \otimes \ell^{(1')} \otimes (\lambda^* \rightharpoonup a\ell^{(1)})\ell^{(2')}s_R \circ^* \lambda\left(\ell^{(2)}\ell^{(3')}\right) = \\ &= S(\ell^{(3)}) \otimes \ell^{(1')} \otimes a^{(2)}\left(*\lambda \rightarrow \ell^{(2)}t_R \circ \lambda^*(a^{(1)}\ell^{(1)})\ell^{(2')}\right) = \\ &= S(\ell^{(3)}) \otimes \ell^{(1')}s_R \circ^* \lambda\left(\ell^{(2)}t_R \circ \lambda^*(a^{(1)}\ell^{(1)})\ell^{(2')}\right) \otimes a^{(2)} = \\ &= S\left(S^{-1}(a^{(1)})^{(2)}\right) \otimes S\left(S^{-1}(a^{(1)})^{(1)}\right) \otimes a^{(2)}\end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

where $\ell^{(1')} \otimes \ell^{(2')} = \gamma_R(\ell) = \ell^{(1)} \otimes \ell^{(2)}$. Hence

$$S^2\left(S^{-1}(a)^{(2)}\right)S\left(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)}\right)^{(1)} \otimes S\left(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)}\right)^{(2)} = s_R \circ \pi_R(a^{(1)}) \otimes a^{(2)}. \quad (6.9)$$

Using (6.6), (6.7) and (6.9) one checks that

$$S\left(S^{-1}(a)^{(2)}\right) \otimes S\left(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)}\right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= S \left(S^{-1}(a)^{(2)} \right) \otimes S^{-1} \left(S^2(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)})^{(1)} s_R \circ \pi_R [S^2(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)})^{(2)}] \right) = \\
&= S^{-1} \circ t_R \circ \pi_R [S^2(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)})^{(2)}] S \left(S^{-1}(a)^{(2)} \right) \otimes S^{-1} \left(S^2(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)})^{(1)} \right) = \\
&= S^{-1} \circ t_R \circ * \lambda \left(S(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)}) \ell^{(2)} \right) S \left(S^{-1}(a)^{(2)} \right) \otimes S^{-1}(\ell^{(1)}) = \\
&= S^{-1} \left(S^2(S^{-1}(a)^{(2)}) S(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)})^{(1)} \ell^{(2)} s_R \circ * \lambda [S(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)})^{(2)} \ell^{(3)}] \right) \otimes S^{-1}(\ell^{(1)}) = \\
&= S^{-1} \left(s_R \circ \pi_R(a^{(1)}) \ell^{(2)} s_R \circ * \lambda(a^{(2)} \ell^{(3)}) \right) \otimes S^{-1}(\ell^{(1)}) = \\
&= S^{-1} \left(\ell^{(2)} s_R \circ * \lambda(a \ell^{(3)}) \right) \otimes S^{-1}(\ell^{(1)}) = S^{-1} \left(S(a)^{(2)} \right) \otimes S^{-1} \left(S(a)^{(1)} \right). \quad (6.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Introducing $\gamma_L(a) := S \left(S^{-1}(a)^{(2)} \right) \otimes S \left(S^{-1}(a)^{(1)} \right) \equiv S^{-1} \left(S(a)^{(2)} \right) \otimes S^{-1} \left(S(a)^{(1)} \right)$ the identity

$$(\text{id}_A \otimes \gamma_R) \circ \gamma_L = (\gamma_L \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_R$$

is proven by (6.8). The last axiom $(\gamma_R \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \gamma_L = (\text{id}_A \otimes \gamma_L) \circ \gamma_R$ is checked similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{-1} \left(S(a)^{(2)} \right)^{(1)} &\otimes S^{-1} \left(S(a)^{(2)} \right)^{(2)} \otimes S^{-1} \left(S(a)^{(1)} \right) = \\
&= \ell^{(2)} t_R \circ \lambda^* \left(\ell^{(2')} s_R \circ * \lambda(a \ell^{(3')}) \ell^{(1)} \right) \otimes \ell^{(3)} \otimes S^{-1} \left(\ell^{(1')} \right) = \\
&= (\lambda \rightarrow a \ell^{(3')}) \ell^{(2)} t_R \circ \lambda^* \left(\ell^{(2')} \ell^{(1)} \right) \otimes \ell^{(3)} \otimes S^{-1} \left(\ell^{(1')} \right) = \\
&= a^{(1)} \left(\lambda^* \rightarrow \ell^{(2')} s_R \circ * \lambda(a^{(2)} \ell^{(3')}) \ell^{(1)} \right) \otimes \ell^{(2)} \otimes S^{-1} \left(\ell^{(1')} \right) = \\
&= a^{(1)} \otimes \ell^{(2)} t_R \circ \lambda^* \left(\ell^{(2')} s_R \circ * \lambda(a^{(2)} \ell^{(3')}) \ell^{(1)} \right) \otimes S^{-1} \left(\ell^{(1')} \right) = \\
&= a^{(1)} \otimes S^{-1} \left(S(a)^{(2)} \right)^{(2)} \otimes S^{-1} \left(S(a)^{(2)} \right)^{(1)}. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

One defines a *non-degenerate right integral* in a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L = (A, L, s_L, t_L, \gamma_L, \pi_L)$ as a non-degenerate left integral in the right bialgebroid $(\mathcal{A}_L)^{op}$. That is as an element $\Upsilon \in A$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
i) \quad & \text{both maps } {}_L\Upsilon \text{ and } \Upsilon_L \text{ are bijective} \\
ii) \quad & \Upsilon_{(1)} a \otimes \Upsilon_{(2)} = \Upsilon_{(1)} \otimes \Upsilon_{(2)} [\Upsilon \leftarrow (a \rightarrow \rho_*)] \\
& \Upsilon_{(1)} \otimes \Upsilon_{(2)} a = \Upsilon_{(1)} [\Upsilon \leftarrow (a \rightarrow * \rho)] \otimes \Upsilon_{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$ and $*\rho := {}_L\Upsilon^{-1}(1_A)$ and $\rho_* := \Upsilon_L^{-1}(1_A)$. The application of Theorem 6.3 to the right bialgebroid $(\mathcal{A}_L)^{op}$ implies that a left bialgebroid $\mathcal{A}_L$ possessing a non-degenerate right integral Υ can be made a Hopf algebroid with the antipode $S(a) := \Upsilon \leftarrow (a \rightarrow \rho_*)$.

As an application of Theorem 6.3 we sketch a different derivation of the Hopf algebroid symmetry of an abstract depth 2 Frobenius extension – obtained in [2].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an additive 2-category closed under the direct sums and subobjects of 1-morphisms. By an abstract extension we mean a 1-morphism ι in $\mathcal{C}$ that possesses a left dual $\bar{\iota}$. This means the existence of 2-morphisms

$$ev_L \in \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\iota} \times \iota, s_0(\iota)) \quad coev_L \in \mathcal{C}^2(t_0(\iota), \iota \times \bar{\iota}) \quad (6.11)$$

satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(\iota \times ev_L) \circ (coev_L \times \iota) &= \iota \\
(ev_L \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times coev_L) &= \bar{\iota}
\end{aligned}$$

where $s_0(\iota)$ and $t_0(\iota)$ are the source and target 0-morphisms of ι , respectively, and $\times$ stands for the horizontal product and $\circ$ for the vertical product. The 1-morphism ι satisfies the *left depth 2* (or D2 for short) condition if $\iota \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota$ is a direct summand in a finite direct sum of copies of ι 's [28]. This means the existence of finite sets of 2-morphisms $\beta_i \in \mathcal{C}^2(\iota \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota, \iota)$ and $\beta'_i \in \mathcal{C}^2(\iota, \iota \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota)$

satisfying $\sum_i \beta'_i \circ \beta_i = \iota \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota$. By the Theorem 3.5 in [28] in this case the ring of 2-morphisms: $A := \mathcal{C}^2(\iota \times \bar{\iota}, \iota \times \bar{\iota})$ carries a left bialgebroid structure $\mathcal{A}_L$ over the base $L := \mathcal{C}^2(\iota, \iota)$. The structural maps of $\mathcal{A}_L$ are explicitly given in [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} s_L(l) &= l \times \bar{\iota} \\ t_L(l) &= \iota \times [(ev_L \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times l \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times coev_L)] \\ \pi_L(a) &= (\iota \times ev_L) \circ (a \times \iota) \circ (coev_L \times \iota) \\ \gamma_L(a) &= (\iota \times ev_L \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (a \times \iota \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\iota \times ev_L \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\iota \times \bar{\iota} \times \beta'_i \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\iota \times \bar{\iota} \times coev_L) \otimes \\ &\quad (\beta_i \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\iota \times \bar{\iota} \times coev_L) \end{aligned}$$

for $l \in L$ and $a \in A$. Summation on the index i is understood.

The 1-morphism ι satisfies the *right D2* condition if $\bar{\iota} \times \iota \times \bar{\iota}$ is a direct summand in a finite direct sum of copies of $\bar{\iota}$'s. This means the existence of finite sets of 2-morphisms $\hat{\beta}_i \in \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\iota} \times \iota \times \bar{\iota}, \bar{\iota})$ and $\hat{\beta}'_i \in \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\iota}, \bar{\iota} \times \iota \times \bar{\iota})$ satisfying $\sum_i \hat{\beta}'_i \circ \hat{\beta}_i = \bar{\iota} \times \iota \times \bar{\iota}$. In this case the ring $B := \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\iota} \times \iota, \bar{\iota} \times \iota)$ carries a right bialgebroid structure $\mathcal{B}_R$ over the base $R := \mathcal{C}^2(\iota, \iota)$. The structural maps of $\mathcal{B}_R$ read as

$$\begin{aligned} s_R(r) &= \bar{\iota} \times r \\ t_R(r) &= [(ev_L \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times r \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times coev_L)] \times \iota \\ \pi_R(b) &= (\iota \times ev_L) \circ (\iota \times b) \circ (coev_L \times \iota) \\ \gamma_R(b) &= (\bar{\iota} \times \iota \times ev_L) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times \iota \times \hat{\beta}_i \times \iota) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times coev_L \times \iota \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota) \circ (b \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times coev_L \times \iota) \otimes \\ &\quad (\bar{\iota} \times \iota \times ev_L) \circ (\hat{\beta}'_i \times \iota) \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in R$ and $b \in B$. If ι satisfies both the left and the right D2 conditions then the bialgebroids $\mathcal{A}_L$ and $\mathcal{B}_R$ are duals.

Let us assume that ι is a *Frobenius* 1-morphism that is its dual $\bar{\iota}$ is two-sided. This means the existence of further 2-morphisms

$$ev_R \in \mathcal{C}^2(\iota \times \bar{\iota}, t_0(\iota)) \quad coev_R \in \mathcal{C}^2(s_0(\iota), \bar{\iota} \times \iota) \quad (6.12)$$

satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (ev_R \times \iota) \circ (\iota \times coev_R) &= \iota \\ (\bar{\iota} \times ev_R) \circ (coev_R \times \bar{\iota}) &= \bar{\iota}. \end{aligned}$$

Under this assumption the left- and right D2 conditions become equivalent (the 2-morphisms $\hat{\beta}_i$ and $\hat{\beta}'_i$ can be expressed in terms of β_i , β'_i and the 2-morphisms (6.11-6.12).) One checks that in the case of a D2 Frobenius 1-morphism ι the element

$$\Upsilon := coev_L \circ ev_R \quad (6.13)$$

of A is a non-degenerate right integral. It leads to the antipode

$$S_A(a) = (\iota \times \bar{\iota} \times ev_R) \circ (\iota \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota \times ev_L \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\iota \times \bar{\iota} \times a \times \iota \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (\iota \times coev_R \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota \times \bar{\iota}) \circ (coev_L \times \iota \times \bar{\iota})$$

obtained by different methods in [2]. Also the element

$$\ell := coev_R \circ ev_L \quad (6.14)$$

of B is a non-degenerate left integral, leading to the antipode

$$S_B(b) = (\bar{\iota} \times \iota \times ev_L) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times \iota \times \bar{\iota} \times ev_R \times \iota) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times \iota \times b \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota) \circ (\bar{\iota} \times coev_L \times \iota \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota) \circ (coev_R \times \bar{\iota} \times \iota).$$

As a matter of fact $S_A(\Upsilon) = \Upsilon$ and $S_B(\ell) = \ell$, that is both Υ and ℓ turn out to be two-sided non-degenerate integrals.

We want to emphasize that the non-degenerate integrals (6.13) and (6.14) are non-unique. Another choice of the non-degenerate integrals leads to other antipodes and other corresponding right and left bialgebroid structures on A and B , respectively.

The name ‘abstract extension’ is motivated by the most important example. For an extension $N \rightarrow M$ of rings the forgetful functor Φ of right modules $\mathcal{M}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_N$ is a 1-morphism in the 2-category of categories. It possesses a left dual: the induction functor. The 1-morphism Φ is left/right D2 and Frobenius if and only if the extension $N \rightarrow M$ is left/right D2 and Frobenius, respectively. As it is shown in [14] in the case of a D2 ring extension $N \rightarrow M$ the above ring A is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring $\text{End}({}_N M_N)$ and B is the center $(M \otimes_N M)^N$. Now for a Frobenius extension $N \rightarrow M$ let us fix a Frobenius system that is an $N - N$ bimodule map $\psi : {}_N M_N \rightarrow N$ and its quasi-basis $y_i \otimes x_i \in M_N \otimes_N M$. Then the non-degenerate integrals (6.13) and (6.14) are $\Upsilon = \psi$ and $\ell = y_i \otimes x_i$, respectively. The construction of the corresponding antipodes gives the Hopf algebroid structure on A that is discussed in the Section 3 of [1] on different grounds, and its dual $\mathcal{A}_*^\Upsilon$ on B .

References

- [1] G. Böhm, K. Szlachányi ‘*Hopf algebroids with bijective antipodes: axioms, integrals and duals*’ preprint math.QA/0302325 J. Algebra to appear
- [2] G. Böhm, K. Szlachányi ‘*Hopf algebroid symmetry of abstract Frobenius extensions of depth 2*’ preprint math.QA/0305136 Comm. Algebra to appear
- [3] G. Böhm, F. Nill, K. Szlachányi ‘*Weak Hopf Algebras I: Integral Theory and C^* -structure*’ J. Algebra **221** (1999) 385-438
- [4] G. Böhm, K. Szlachányi ‘*A Coassociative C^* -Quantum Group with Non-Integral Dimensions*’ Lett. Math. Phys. **35** (1996) 437-456
- [5] T. Brzezinski, G. Militaru ‘*Bialgebroids, $\times_R$ -bialgebras and Duality*’ J. Algebra **251** No.2 (2002) 279-294
- [6] A. Connes, H. Moscovici ‘*Cyclic cohomology and Hopf algebra symmetry*’ Conference Mosh Flato 1999, Dijon Lett. Math. Phys. **52** No.1 (2000) 1-28
- [7] A. Connes, H. Moscovici ‘*Differential cyclic cohomology and Hopf algebraic structures in transverse geometry*’ in “Essays on geometry and related topics”, Monogr. Enseign. Math. **38**, Geneva 2001, 217-255
- [8] M-C. David ‘*Paragroupe d’ Adrian Ocneanu et algebre de Kac*’ Pacif. J. of Math. **172** No. 2 (1996) 331-363
- [9] M. Enock, R. Nest ‘*Inclusions of Factors, Multiplicative Unitaries and Kac Algebras*’ J. Funct. Anal. **137** (1996) 466-543
- [10] M. Enock, J-M. Vallin ‘*Inclusions of von Neumann algebras and quantum groupoids*’ J. Funct. Anal. **172** (2000) 249-300
- [11] M. Enock ‘*Inclusions of von Neumann algebras and quantum groupoids II.*’ J. Funct. Anal. **178** (2000) 156-225
- [12] L. Kadison ‘*Hopf algebroids and H -separable extensions*’ Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003) 2993-3002
- [13] L. Kadison ‘*Hopf algebroids and Galois extensions*’ preprint MPS 020020426, Bulletin of the Belgian Math. Soc. to appear
- [14] L. Kadison, K. Szlachányi ‘*Bialgebroid actions on depth two extensions and duality*’ Advances of Mathematics **179** (2003) 75-121

- [15] M. Khalkhali, B. Rangipour ‘*On the cyclic cohomology of extended Hopf algebras*’ preprint math.KT/0105105
- [16] R.G. Larson, M.E. Sweedler ‘*An associative orthogonal bilinear form for Hopf algebras*’ Amer. J. of Math. **91** (1969) 75-94
- [17] R. Longo ‘*A duality for Hopf algebras and for subfactors I.*’ Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994) 133-150
- [18] J. H. Lu ‘*Hopf Algebroids and Quantum Groupoids*’ Int. J. Math. Vol. **7** No. **1** (1996) 47-70
- [19] D. Nykshich, L. Vainerman ‘*A characterization of depth 2 subfactors of II_1 factors*’ J. Funct. Analysis, **171** No. **2** (2000) 278-307
- [20] D. Nykshich, L. Vainerman ‘*Galois correspondence for II_1 factors and quantum groupoids*’ J. Funct. Analysis, **178** No. **1** (2000) 113-142
- [21] F. Nill ‘*Weak Bialgebras*’ preprint math.QA/9805104
- [22] F. Nill, K. Szlachányi, H-W. Wiesbrock ‘*Weak Hopf Algebras and Reducible Jones Inclusions of Depth 2. I.*’ preprint math.QA/9806130 and F. Nill, K. Szlachányi, H-W. Wiesbrock ‘*Weak Hopf Algebras and Reducible Jones Inclusions of Depth 2. II.*’ unpublished
- [23] F. Nill, H-W Wiesbrock ‘*A comment on Jones Inclusions with finite Index*’ Rev. Math. Phys. **7** (1995) 599
- [24] A. Ocneanu ‘*A Galois Theory for Operator Algebras*’ Notes of a Lecture. Quantum Symmetry, Differential Geometry of Finite Graphs and Classification Subfactors, Lectures by A. Ocneanu given at the University of Tokyo, Notes taken by Y. Kawahigashi (1992)
- [25] P. Schauenburg ‘*Weak Hopf Algebras and Quantum Groupoids*’ preprint
- [26] P. Schauenburg ‘*Duals and Doubles of Quantum Groupoids*’ in: “New trends in Hopf algebra theory” Proceedings of the Colloquium on quantum groups and Hopf algebras, La Falda, Sierra de Cordoba, Argentina, 1999, AMS Contemporary Mathematics **267** (2000) 273-299
- [27] M. E. Sweedler ‘*Groups of simple algebras*’ Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **44** (1974) 79-189
- [28] K. Szlachányi: ‘*Finite Quantum Groupoids and Inclusions of Finite Type*’ Fields Institute Communications Vol **30** (2001) 393-407
- [29] K. Szlachányi ‘*Galois actions by finite quantum groupoids*’ in the Proceedings of the ‘69^{ème} rencontre entre physiciens théoriciens et mathématiciens’ Strasbourg, 2002 L. Vainerman ed., V. Turaev series ed., IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics 2, de Gruyter 2003.
- [30] W. Szymański ‘*Finite Index Subfactors and Hopf Algebra Crossed Products*’ Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** No. **2** (1994) 519-528
- [31] M. Takeuchi ‘*Groups of Algebras over $A \otimes \bar{A}$* ’ J. Math. Soc Japan **29** (1997) 459-492
- [32] P. Xu ‘*Quantum Groupoids and Deformation Quantization*’ C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, I. **326** (1998) 289-294
- [33] P. Xu ‘*Quantum Groupoids*’ Comm. Math. Phys. **216** (2001) 539-581
- [34] S. Yamagami ‘*A note on Ocneanu’s approach to Jones’ index theory,*’ Internat. J. Math. **4** (1993), 859-871.
- [35] P. Vecsernyés ‘*Larson-Sweedler theorem and the role of grouplike elements in weak Hopf algebras*’ J. Algebra **270** (2003), 471-520.
- [36] Y. Watatani ‘*Index for C^* -subalgebras*’ Memoirs of the AMS Vol. **23** No. 424 (1990)